arXiv:1512.06343v1 [math.DG] 20 Dec 2015

Twists versus Modifications

Andrew Swann

Abstract

The twist construction is a geometric T-duality that produces new manifolds from old, works well with for example hypercomplex structures and is easily inverted. It tends to destroy properties such as the hyperKähler condition. On the other hand modifications preserve the hyperKähler property, but do not have an obvious inversion. In this paper we show how elementary deformations provide a link between the two constructions, and use the twist construction to build hyperKähler and strong HKT structures. In the process, we provide a full classification of complete hyperKähler four-manifolds with tri-Hamiltonian symmetry and study a number singular phenomena in detail.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Fundamental constructions **4**

3 HyperKähler four-manifolds with symmetry **5**

4 Modification as a twist **9**

5 General hyperKähler twists **12**

6 Pseudo-Riemannian structures **15**

7 Singular behaviour **16**

8 Strong HKT metrics **23**

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 53C26; Secondary 31B05 53D20 57S25

1 Introduction

HyperKähler metrics are Ricci-flat with a triple of parallel complex structures. The metric together with any one of the complex structures specifies a Kähler geometry with parallel complex-symplectic form. Such manifolds are Calabi-Yau and form a special class in the Berger holonomy classification, see Besse [7].

Given an isometric circle action preserving each element in the triple, there are at least two different constructions that may be applied to produce manifolds in the same dimension with a new topology and a metric compatible with a triple of complex structures.

The first is the twist construction of [34], which reproduces the T-duality as used in Gibbons, Papadopoulos and Stelle [14]. In particular, it includes constructions of strong HKT metrics in dimension four from hyperKähler metrics. However, many of the examples discussed in [14] are incomplete, and it is not clear whether one can derive hyperKähler metrics from the construction. Indeed, while the twist construction in [34] is easily specialised to generate integrable complex structures, it does tend to destroy symplectic structures that are present. On the other hand the twist construction has the advantage that is a genuine duality and may easily be inverted.

In contrast, the hyperKähler modification construction [11] produces hyperKähler manifolds in the same dimension via a hyperKähler moment map construction. When the original manifold is simply-connected, the modification increases the section Betti number by one. Away from the zero set of the moment map, the topological set-up is precisely a double fibration picture that is the basis for the twist construction. However, the recipe for producing the hyperKähler metric from this picture is rather different, and inversion is not apparent.

The purpose of this paper is to determine exactly how these constructions are related to each other, particularly when the metrics involved are complete. As the domain of the hyperKähler modification is larger than that of the double fibration, this also enables us to explore some singular behaviour of the twist construction.

We start the paper by giving a brief overview of the twist and modification constructions, describing their properties with respect to completeness. We also introduce a generalisation of the modification, which has as an ingredient an arbitrary complete hyperKähler four-manifold with circle symmetry in place of flat $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^4$ in the original construction. Such four-manifolds were classified by Bielawski [8] under the assumption that the second Betti number is finite. In §3, we extend his result to the general case, showing that the only other examples are the A_∞-manifolds of Anderson, Kronheimer and LeBrun [2] and their Taub-NUT deformations. The general strategy we use is that of Bielawski's original work, extending the Gibbons-Hawking Ansatz, but with a different approach to the analysis of positive har-

monic functions and invocation of the Martin boundary. With this in place we make a local study of the general modification in §4 and show how on dense open sets it may be interpreted as a twist: not of the original hyperKähler metric, but rather of an 'elementary deformation' obtained by scaling the metric in quaternionic directions generated by the symmetry. A similar concept of elementary deformation was used in [25] to describe the hyperKähler/quaternionic Kähler correspondence when there is a symmetry that preserves only one of the complex structures.

It is now natural to ask when elementary deformations combined with the twist construction lead to hyperKähler metrics. Section 5 describes this on the principal locus, showing that these are essentially governed by harmonic functions on $\mathbb{R}^3$. In contrast to the four-dimensional Gibbons-Hawking description, these harmonic functions are not necessarily positive. Indeed the twist construction has the virtue of being easily inverted, and the inversion is seen to be governed by the negative of the original harmonic function. This answers the question of how the hyperKähler modification may be inverted. In §6, we briefly discuss how a similar derivation of which twists are hyperKähler may be obtained in the pseudo-Riemannian situation.

The harmonic function controlling elementary deformations whose twist are hyperKähler is allowed to have singularities. Indeed the original modification construction corresponds to a $1/(2\|q\|)$ singularity in $\mathbb{R}^3$. In §7, we dissect what singular behaviour is allowed when twisting between complete metrics. A close study of the interaction of the circle symmetry with its hyperKähler moment map, shows that many of these singularities are of the type of a standard modification or its inverse.

Finally in §8, we study the use of elementary deformations to twist hyperKähler metrics to strong HKT metrics. For HKT geometries one has certain type of triple of Hermitian structures, that are not necessarily symplectic. The strong condition is that a certain three-form is closed. Very few examples of such structures are known that are not hyperKähler: following work of Joyce [23], and building on Spindel et al. [32], Grantcharov and Poon [16] showed that bi-invariant metrics on most compact groups of dimension $4n$ are strong HKT; Barberis and Fino [6] produced other compact examples; otherwise most known examples, including those in [14], are incomplete. We show that starting with a hyperKähler manifold with circle symmetry, harmonic functions again govern which twists are strong HKT. Complete examples, for example on cotangent bundles of complexified compact Lie groups, may be obtained simply by taking this function to be constant.

Acknowledgements. I thank Roger Bielawski, Marcel Bökstedt, Andrew Dancer, Andrew du Plessis, Anna Fino, Marco Freibert, Thomas Bruun Madsen and Bent Ørsted for useful conversations. This work is partially supported by the Danish Council for Independent Research, Natural Sciences.

2 Fundamental constructions

Let M be a hyperKähler manifold with metric g and complex structures I, J and K. By definition, $\omega_I = g(I\cdot,\cdot)$ is a Kähler form for (g, I), etc., and $IJ = K = -JI$. Suppose that M has a tri-holomorphic circle action generated by X, so X is a vector field with $L_X g = 0$ and $L_X I = 0 = L_X J$. We will assume that the action is effective and has period 2π. If X is Hamiltonian for ω_I, ω_J and ω_K, we say that the action is *tri-Hamiltonian* and write $\mu = \mu^I \mathbf{i} + \mu^J \mathbf{j} + \mu^K \mathbf{k} \in \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}$ for the hyperKähler moment map, where by definition $\mu^I \in C^\infty(M)$ satisfies $d\mu^I = X \lrcorner \omega_I$.

Suppose $X_{\mathbb{H}}$ is the vector field on $\mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{R}^4$ that generates the circle action $\mathbf{q} \mapsto e^{i\theta}\mathbf{q}$. This action is tri-Hamiltonian and a corresponding hyperKähler moment map $\mu_{\mathbb{H}}$ is given by

$$\mu_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbf{q}) = \tfrac{1}{2}\overline{\mathbf{q}}\mathbf{i}\mathbf{q}. \tag{2.1}$$

On $M \times \mathbb{H}$ we have a circle action generated by $Y = X - X_{\mathbb{H}}$. The hyperKähler quotient [22] of $M \times \mathbb{H}$ by Y is the *modification* M_{mod} of M as defined in [11].

More generally, we may replace $\mathbb{H}$ with a complete hyperKähler four-manifold N that has a tri-Hamiltonian circle action of period 2π generated by X_N with hyperKähler moment map μ_N. We then define the *general modification* $M_{\mathrm{mod}N}$ of M by N by taking

$$Y = X - X_N$$

and setting

$$M_{\mathrm{mod}N} = (M \times N) /\!\!/\!\!/ Y = \rho^{-1}(0)/Y,$$

where $\rho = \mu - \mu_N \colon M \times N \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is the hyperKähler moment map for Y. This general modification is a smooth hyperKähler manifold whenever Y acts freely on $\rho^{-1}(0)$. For any constant c, we have that $\mu_N + c$ is also a hyperKähler moment map on N; with this choice the quotient is smooth if Y acts freely on $\rho^{-1}(c)$. We will absorb c in to μ_N and call μ_N a *good* moment map for M when the general modification is smooth. Note that we have a double fibration

$$M \xleftarrow{\pi_1} D_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} M_{\mathrm{mod}N} \tag{2.2}$$

of principal circle bundles over open dense subsets of M and $M_{\mathrm{mod}N}$, where $D_0 \subset \rho^{-1}(0)$ is the open dense set on which both X_N and Y act freely.

On the other hand, for the twist construction [34, 33] starting with (M, X) one takes a principal circle bundle $P \to M$ with a connection θ such that the curvature $F = d\theta$ is invariant under X and the contraction $X \lrcorner F$ is exact. Choosing $a \in C^\infty(M)$ with $da = -X \lrcorner F$, one obtains an $\mathbb{R}$-action on P generated by $X' = \widetilde{X} + aZ$, where Z is the generator of the principal action of P and $\widetilde{X}$ is the horizontal lift of X to $\mathcal{H} = \ker\theta$. The *twist* W of M is then defined

to be the quotient $P/\langle X'\rangle$ when X' generates a circle action. Again we have a double fibration

$$M \xleftarrow{\pi_M} P \xrightarrow{\pi_W} W. \tag{2.3}$$

Invariant forms and metrics are transferred from M to W by pulling them back to P, restricting them to $\mathcal{H}$ and then pushing them down to W. This works as long as X' is transverse to $\mathcal{H}$, which is the same as the function a having no zeroes. Tensors α on M and α_W on $\Omega^p(W)$ related in this way are then said to be $\mathcal{H}$-*related* and we write $\alpha_W \sim_{\mathcal{H}} \alpha$. In the case of differential forms, their exterior differentials satisfy

$$d\alpha_W \sim_{\mathcal{H}} d\alpha - \tfrac{1}{a} F \wedge (X \lrcorner \alpha). \tag{2.4}$$

The twist construction is easily inverted. If M is twisted to W via (X, a, F) then twisting W by the data $\mathcal{H}$-related to $(-\frac{1}{a}X, \frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{a}F)$ recovers M.

For both constructions, it is possible to control completeness properties.

Proposition 2.1.

(i) *If M is a complete hyperKähler manifold, then any hyperKähler modification $M_{\mathrm{mod}N}$ of M is complete.*

(ii) *If g is a complete metric on a manifold M then any smooth twist (W, g_W) of (M, g) by an isometry of g is complete.*

Proof. For (i) it is enough to note that hyperKähler quotients of complete manifolds by compact groups are always complete. In particular, M and N complete imply $M \times N$ is complete and so the hyperKähler quotient $M_{\mathrm{mod}N} = (M \times N)/\!\!/\!\!/ S^1$ is complete.

For the twist construction (ii), any curve γ_W on W may be lifted horizontally to P. This is then the horizontal lift of a unique curve γ on M. As g_W is $\mathcal{H}$-related to g, we see that γ and γ_W have the same lengths. Since we are twisting by a compact group, γ_W lies in a compact subset of W if and only if γ lies in a compact subset of M.

Suppose γ_W lies in no compact subset of W, then γ is contained in no compact subset of M. Completeness of (M, g) implies that γ has infinite length, and the same is true of γ_W, so g_W is complete. □

3 HyperKähler four-manifolds with symmetry

Consider a hyperKähler manifold (M, g, I, J, K) of real dimension 4 with a tri-Hamiltonian circle symmetry generated by X. Define $M' = M \setminus M^X$ to be the complement of the set M^X of zeros of X. Putting $\alpha_0 = g(X, \cdot) = X^\flat$ and $\alpha_I = IX^\flat = X \lrcorner \omega_I$, etc., we have on M' that

$$g = V(\alpha_0^2 + \alpha_I^2 + \alpha_J^2 + \alpha_K^2), \quad \omega_I = V(\alpha_{0I} + \alpha_{JK}), \quad \text{etc.},$$

where $V = 1/g(X, X)$ and $\alpha_{0I} = \alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_I$. Introducing $\beta_0 = V\alpha_0$, so $\beta_0(X) = 1$, the metric g becomes

$$g = \frac{1}{V}\beta_0^2 + V(\alpha_I^2 + \alpha_J^2 + \alpha_K^2)$$

Now on M'/X, the map $\mu = (\mu^I, \mu^J, \mu^K)$ is a local diffeomorphism. Noting that $\alpha_I = d\mu_I$ and choosing a parameter t along the orbits, the metric g is locally

$$g = \frac{1}{V}(dt + \omega)^2 + V(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2), \tag{3.1}$$

where $\omega = \beta_0 - dt$ is (the pull-back of) a one-form on an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^3$. In this local form, the closure of ω_I, ω_J and ω_K is equivalent to the monopole equation

$$d\omega = -*_3 dV \tag{3.2}$$

in $\mathbb{R}^3$, see [21, 35]. Here $*_3$ is the Hodge star operator with respect to the standard metric $g_{\mathbb{R}^3} = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$. In particular, equation (3.2) shows that V is locally a harmonic function in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Conversely local positive harmonic functions on $\mathbb{R}^3$ give four-dimensional hyperKähler metrics via (3.2) and (3.1).

Choosing various harmonic functions leads to a number of examples of complete hyperKähler four-manifolds with tri-holomorphic circle actions.

(i) For $V(p) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{k} \|p - p_i\|^{-1}$, with $p_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$ distinct, we get the Gibbons-Hawking gravitational instantons [13]. This has second Betti number $b_2(M) = k - 1$.

(ii) For $V(p) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|p - p_i\|^{-1}$, with $p_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$ distinct and so that $V(q) < \infty$ for some $q \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we obtain the metrics of Anderson, Kronheimer and LeBrun [2], which are of infinite topological type. (They are all complete, since arc-length is bounded below by arc-length in $\mathbb{R}^4$, from the case $V(p) = 1/(2\|p - p_1\|)$.)

(iii) Adding a positive constant c to either of the previous two potentials we get Taub-NUT deformations of the metrics. In the finite case, these are to be found in [20].

Bielawski [8] proved that the Gibbons-Hawking metrics and their Taub-NUT deformations are the only complete examples of finite topological type. In this section we will show how Bielawski's arguments may be strengthened to remove this topological restriction.

Note that Goto [15] provided an alternative construction of the Anderson-Kronheimer-LeBrun metrics that Hattori [19, 18] has discussed equivalence problems within this class and volume growth properties. Minerbe [29] has characterised the multi-Taub-NUT metrics amongst all complete four-dimensional hyperKähler metrics via their volume growth.

Theorem 3.1. *If M is a connected complete hyperKähler four-manifold with an effective tri-Hamiltonian circle action, then M is isometric to a Gibbons-Hawking metric, an Anderson-Kronheimer-LeBrun metric or a Taub-NUT deformation of one of these.*

From the form of the hyperKähler moment maps for these metrics, we note the following consequence.

Corollary 3.2. *For a complete hyperKähler four-manifold M with effective tri-Hamiltonian circle action, the hyperKähler moment map $\mu\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is surjective.* □

To prove the theorem, let us start by observing that the stabiliser $\operatorname{stab}(x; S^1)$ of each $x \in M$ under the circle action is either trivial or all of S^1. Indeed, $G = \operatorname{stab}(x; S^1)$ is a compact subgroup of S^1 so is either all of S^1 or is finite cyclic. If $G = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$, then x is not a fixed point and so the vector field X is non-zero at x. Equivariantly there is a tubular neighbourhood U of the orbit through x of the form $U = S^1 \times_G X^\perp$. But G acts trivially on $X^\perp = \langle IX, JX, KX\rangle$, G stabilises each point in U. In particular, as M is connected, this implies that G stabilises points in principal orbits and so G acts trivially on M. As S^1 acts effectively, this implies that G is trivial.

Now let $x \in M^X$ be a fixed-point of X. There is a tubular neighbourhood U of x of the form $U = S^1 \times_{S^1} T_xM$. As S^1 acts on T_xM as a connected subgroup H of the maximal torus $U(1)$ in $SU(2)$, it follows that H is either trivial or all of $U(1)$. In the first case, X is then zero in an open neighbourhood of x in M; since M is connected and Ricci-flat it we get that X is identically zero on M, which contradicts the effectiveness of the action. We conclude that $H = U(1)$ and that U contains no other fixed-point. It follows that M^X is discrete and so countable.

As in Bielawski [8, Proposition 4.3], we now have that the map $\overline{\mu}\colon M/S^1 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ induced by μ is a local homeomorphism. At points of M', we have $d\mu = (IX^\flat, JX^\flat, KX^\flat)$, so $\overline{\mu}$ is a local diffeomorphism on M'/S^1. Examination of the local form of μ then implies that $\overline{\mu}$ is injective in a neighbourhood of each fixed point x and a local compactness argument implies it is a homeomorphism there. The function V descends to $N' = M'/S^1$. The metric $V(\alpha_I^2+\alpha_J^2+\alpha_K^2)$ on N' is locally the pull-back of $\Phi g_{\mathbb{R}^3}$, where the conformal factor Φ is a positive function on an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^3$ and is harmonic with respect to the standard metric $g_{\mathbb{R}^3}$. Around a fixed point x, we have Φ defined and harmonic in a punctured neighbourhood $U_q \setminus \{q\}$ of $q = \mu(x)$. Bôcher's Theorem (see [4]) states that $\Phi(p) = \phi(p) + a_x/\|p - q\|$ with $a_x \geqslant 0$ constant and ϕ harmonic on all of U_q.

Let $S \subset U_q$ be a small distance sphere centred on $q = \mu(x)$. As $\overline{\mu}$ is conformal, the S^1-invariant set $\mu^{-1}(S)$ is diffeomorphic to a distance sphere around x. It follows that $S^1 \to \mu^{-1}(S) \to S$ is a the Hopf fibration $S^1 \to S^3 \to S^2$. In particular, the bundle $\mu^{-1}(S) \to S$ has Chern class ± 1. On the other hand, this fibration has curvature form $d\omega = -*_3 d\Phi$ and Chern class

$-\frac{a_x}{2\pi}\int_S *_3 d(\|p-q\|^{-1})$. For the vector field $X_{\mathbb{H}}$ on $\mathbb{H}$ we have $V(\mathbf{q}) = \|\mathbf{q}\|_{\mathbb{H}}^{-2}$, for $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{H}$. But equation (2.1) gives $\|\mu_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbf{q})\| = \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{q}\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2$, so $V(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2}\|\mu_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbf{q})\|^{-1}$, giving $\Phi(p) = \frac{1}{2}\|p\|^{-1}$ and $a_0 = 1/2$. We conclude that $a_x = 1/2$ for each fixed point x. Let us also note that this also shows the orientation conventions here fix the Chern class to be $+1$.

As in [8, Proposition 5.3] we may adjust V around each fixed point to get a complete metric $g' = \tilde{V}(\alpha_I^2 + \alpha_J^2 + \alpha_K^2)$ on N' with non-negative scalar curvature. As $\overline{\mu}\colon (N', g') \to (\mathbb{R}^3, g_{\mathbb{R}^3})$ is locally conformal and $g_{\mathbb{R}^3}$ is flat, results of Schoen and Yau [31, Theorem VI.3.5] imply that $\overline{\mu}\colon N' \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is injective with boundary of Newtonian capacity zero. In the terminology of harmonic function theory, this boundary is a polar set.

Writing $\Omega = \mu(M') \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, injectivity of $\overline{\mu}$ on N' means that we may now regard V as a function on Ω. It is equal to the conformal factor Φ and in particular is a positive harmonic function. As such it is described by a Martin representation [26, 3], which we now determine.

As the topological boundary $\partial\Omega$ of Ω in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is polar, it has Hausdorff dimension at most 1 and so Ω is dense in $\mathbb{R}^3$. By Armitage and Gardiner [3, Theorem 9.5.1], following [30], the minimal Martin boundary Δ of Ω is $\Delta = \partial\Omega \cup \{\infty\}$ and the Green's function of Ω is that of $\mathbb{R}^3$, namely $G(p,q) = 1/\|p-q\|$. Adding a constant to μ, we may assume that $0 \in \mu(M')$. The Martin kernel is then $M(p,q) = \|q\|/\|p-q\|$ and there is a unique measure $d\mu_V$ on Δ such that V has Martin representation

$$V(p) = \int_\Delta M(p,q)\, d\mu_V(q),$$

see [3, Theorem 8.4.1].

Let $F = \mu(M^X)$ be the image of the fixed-point set. Now M^X is discrete and $\overline{\mu}\colon M/S^1 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is a local homeomorphism that is injective on N'. It follows that μ is injective on M^X, and that F is a discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}^3$ that is contained in $\partial\Omega \subset \Delta$. In particular, F is a Borel set and there is a positive harmonic function W on Ω defined by

$$W(p) = \int_F M(p,q)\, d\mu_V(q).$$

This satisfies

$$\lim_{p\to q} \frac{W(p)}{V(p)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } q \in F, \\ 0, & \text{for } q \in \Delta \setminus F, \end{cases}$$

see [3, Corollary 9.4.3]. Now $W(p) \leqslant V(p)$ for all $p \in \Omega$, so W is finite on Ω. But Bôcher's Theorem combined with the local form of V gives us that

$$W(p) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{q\in F} \frac{1}{\|p-q\|},$$

for all $p \in \Omega$. In particular, Harnack's principle implies that this sum converges at each $p \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus F$ and W gives the potential for a Gibbons-Hawking or an Anderson-Kronheimer-LeBrun metric. In particular, F has no accumulation point in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Now we have

$$\phi(p) = (V - W)(p) = \int_{\Delta \setminus F} M(p, q)\, d\mu_V(q)$$

is a positive harmonic function on Ω. Again ϕ is bounded above by V, so is finite on Ω. Suppose $\partial\Omega$ is strictly larger than F. Then, there is a $p_0 \in \Omega$ with Euclidean distance $d(p_0, \partial\Omega)$ strictly smaller than $d(p_0, F)$. Let $q \in \partial\Omega \setminus F$ be a point closet to p_0. Then the straight-line segment $\gamma\colon [0, 1) \to \Omega$, $\gamma(t) = (1-t)p_0 + tq$, has $d(\gamma(t), \Omega) = \|\gamma(t) - q\|$ for each $t \in [0, 1)$. The length of the horizontal lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ of γ to M is

$$\ell(\tilde{\gamma}) = \|p_0 - q\| \int_0^1 \sqrt{V(\gamma(t))}\, dt.$$

But W is bounded on γ and the integral definition of ϕ shows that there are constants $c_i > 0$ such that

$$\phi(p) \leqslant c_1 + \frac{c_2}{\operatorname{dist}(p, \partial\Omega \setminus F)}, \tag{3.3}$$

for all $p \in \Omega$. It follows that $\tilde{\gamma}$ has finite length, contradicting the completeness of g, cf. [8, §5].

We conclude that $F = \partial\Omega$ and that $V = W + c$, for some $c \geqslant 0$. For $c = 0$, we get the two classes of metrics above; for $c > 0$, we have Taub-NUT deformations of them. This proves the Theorem.

4 Modification as a twist

Given a hyperKähler manifold (M, g) and a general modification $M_{\mathrm{mod}N}$, our aim is to find a hyper-Hermitian metric $\tilde{g}$ on M that gives the hyperKähler metric of $M_{\mathrm{mod}N}$ under a twist construction.

We take $W = M_{\mathrm{mod}N}$ and choose our circle bundle to be $P = D_0$ in the notation of (2.2). For this section we work on the subsets of M and $M_{\mathrm{mod}N}$ that are the images of the projections from D_0. The principal vector fields are $Z = X_N$ and $X' = Y = X - X_N$.

The space $D_0 \subset \rho^{-1}(0) \subset M \times N$ is a Riemannian submanifold and the fibration to $M_{\mathrm{mod}N}$ is a Riemannian submersion. So there is a natural horizontal distribution

$$\mathcal{H} = Y^{\perp} \cap TD_0$$

which we will use in the twist. The combination of $\mathcal{H}$ and the principal vector fields determines the corresponding connection one forms.

Consider the principal fibration $\pi\colon D_0 \to M$. Write $i\colon D_0 \to M \times \mathbb{H}$ for the inclusion. The connection one-form θ is required to satisfy $\theta(X_N) = 1$ and $\ker\theta = \mathcal{H}$. Equivariance then follows from the equivariance of $\mathcal{H}$.

The first condition $\theta(X_N) = 1$ is satisfied by the form

$$\theta' = i^*(X_N^\flat/\|X_N\|^2) = i^*(V_N X_N^\flat),$$

where we have written the hyperKähler metric g_N on N as in §3, so V_N is a function of μ_N. On $\rho^{-1}(0)$, we have $\mu = \mu_N$, so we may regard i^*V_N as a function $V_N(\mu)$ of μ.

We now need to adjust θ' to get a one-form vanishing on $\mathcal{H}$. As

$$d\rho^A = Y \lrcorner (\omega_A + \omega_A^N) = X \lrcorner g(A\cdot,\cdot) - X_N \lrcorner g_N(A\cdot,\cdot) = (AX - AX_N)^\flat,$$

for $A = I, J, K$, the tangent bundle to TD_0 is

$$TD_0 = \ker d\rho = (IX - IX_N)^\perp \cap (JX - JX_N)^\perp \cap (KX - KX_N)^\perp.$$

The form θ' is zero on all vectors in $\mathcal{H}$ apart from those with a component along X_N. Any vector in $\mathcal{H} = \langle Y\rangle^\perp = \langle X - X_N\rangle^\perp$ may be decomposed as a vector orthogonal to both X and X_N and a component proportional to an appropriate linear combination $X_\ell = eX + fX_N$. The condition $\langle X_\ell, Y\rangle = 0$ gives a standard choice with $X_\ell = \|X_N\|^2 X + \|X\|^2 X_N = V_N^{-1}X + \|X\|^2 X_N$.

Put $\theta = \theta' + \lambda X^\flat$. Then $\theta(X_N) = 1$, as required. We have $\theta(X_\ell) = \theta'(X_\ell) + \lambda X^\flat(X_\ell) = \|X\|^2 + \lambda V_N^{-1}\|X\|^2$. Therefore

$$\theta = V_N\, i^*(X_N^\flat - X^\flat).$$

Lemma 4.1. *The connection θ on $D_0 \to M$ has curvature*

$$F = -\big(*_3 dV_N + d(V_N \alpha_0)\big) \tag{4.1}$$

where $*_3$ is the Hodge $*$-operator on the three-dimensional distribution with orthonormal basis IX, JX, KX and $\alpha_0 = X^\flat \in \Omega^1(M)$.

Proof. We have $\pi_1^* F = d\theta$ and

$$d\theta = i^* d\big(V_N(\alpha_0^N - X^\flat)\big) = i^* d(\beta_0 - V_N X^\flat) = -i^*\big(*_3 dV_N + d(V_N X^\flat)\big).$$

Now i^*V_N is a function of $\mu = (\mu^I, \mu^J, \mu^K)$, so $i^* dV_N = V_1 d\mu^I + V_2 d\mu^J + V_3 d\mu^K = V_1(IX)^\flat + V_2(JX)^\flat + V_3(KX)^\flat$ and the result follows. □

We see that

$$X \lrcorner F = X \lrcorner d\theta = L_X\theta - d(X \lrcorner \theta) = d(V_N\|X\|^2).$$

Thus $a = k - V_N\|X\|^2$ for some constant k. This constant is determined by

$$X - X_N = Y = \widetilde{X} + aX_N = (X + V_N\|X\|^2 X_N) + aX_N = X + kX_N.$$

So $k = -1$ and

$$a = -(1 + V_N\|X\|^2). \tag{4.2}$$

Lemma 4.2. *The pull-backs of the original hyperKähler metric g on M and of the hyperKähler metric g_{mod} on the general modification are related by*

$$\pi_1^* g - \pi^* g_{\mathrm{mod}} = -V_N\, \pi_1^* g_{\mathbb{H}X} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{H},$$

where $g_{\mathbb{H}X} = \alpha_0^2 + \alpha_I^2 + \alpha_J^2 + \alpha_K^2$ with $\alpha_I = IX^\flat = X \lrcorner \omega_I$, etc.

Proof. The fibration $D_0 \to M_{\mathrm{mod}N}$ is a Riemannian submersion with $\mathcal{H}$ orthogonal to the fibres, so $\pi^* g_{\mathrm{mod}} = g_D|_{\mathcal{H}}$, with $g_D = i^* g + i^* g_N$ the metric induced from the product $M \times N$. For $A \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $g_D(A, Y) = 0$, for $Y = X - X_N$, so $g_D(A, X_N) = g_D(A, X)$, and we may write $A = A_1 + B$, with A_1 orthogonal to the quaternionic span of $X_\ell = V_N^{-1} X + \|X\|^2 X_N$ and $B = s_A X_\ell - s_{IA} IX_\ell - s_{JA} JX_\ell - s_{KA} KX_\ell$, where $s_A = g_D(A, X_\ell)/\|X_\ell\|^2 = V_N g_D(A, X)/\|X\|^2$. Then the projection of $A \in TD_0 \subset TM \times TN$ to TM is $A_2 = A_1 + V_N^{-1}\tilde{B}$, with $\tilde{B} = s_A X - s_{IA} IX - s_{JA} JX - s_{KA} KX$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\pi_1^* g - \pi^* g_{\mathrm{mod}})(A, A) \\
&\quad = g_D(A_2, A_2) - g_D(A, A) = g_D(A, A_2) - g_D(A, A) \\
&\quad = g_D\big(A, \|X\|^2(-s_A X_N + s_{IA} IX_N + s_{JA} JX_N + s_{KA} KX_N)\big) \\
&\quad = -V_N\big(g_D(A, X)^2 + g_D(A, IX)^2 + g_D(A, JX)^2 + g_D(A, KX)^2\big) \\
&\quad = -V_N \pi_1^* g_N(A, X)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

We conclude

Theorem 4.3. *The general modification (M, g, X) by N^4 with hyperKähler metric $g_N = \dfrac{1}{V_N}(dt + \omega^2) + V_N g_{\mathbb{R}^3}$, is the twist of*

$$\tilde{g} = g + V_N(\mu)\, g_{\mathbb{H}X}$$

by X with respect to $F = -\big(*_3 dV_N + d(V_N \alpha_0)\big)$ and $a = -(1 + V_N\|X\|^2)$. □

It follows that an ordinary modification has $V_N(\mu) = V_{\mathbb{H}}(\mu) = 1/(2\|\mu\|)$. One may check directly that the above formulae give hyperKähler metrics. However, in the next section we will consider a more general situation, and determine when the twist construction leads to hyperKähler structures.

Note that when $\dim M = 4$, with g given by a potential V, as in (3.1), then the general modification by N^4 has potential $V + V_N$. Taub-NUT deformations correspond to $V_N = c > 0$ constant, which is the potential for the flat metric on $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, where $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\pi r\mathbb{Z}$ with $c = 1/r^2$.

5 General hyperKähler twists

Let us start with a connected hyperKähler manifold (M, g, I, J, K) together with a tri-holomorphic isometry generated by X. This action will be taken to be effective, but not necessarily free. We assume that $\dim M > 4$. As above, write

$$\alpha_0 = X^\flat, \quad \alpha_I = IX^\flat = X^\flat \circ (-I), \quad \alpha_J = JX^\flat, \quad \alpha_K = KX^\flat$$
$$g_{\mathbb{H}X} = \alpha_0^2 + \alpha_I^2 + \alpha_J^2 + \alpha_K^2.$$

Consider an *elementary quaternionic deformation* $\tilde{g}$ of the metric g given by

$$\tilde{g} = f\, g + h\, g_{\mathbb{H}X}, \tag{5.1}$$

where f and h are smooth functions. Note that when $\tilde{g}$ is non-degenerate, it gives a, possibly indefinite, hyper-Hermitian metric compatible with I, J, K. We wish to determine which twists W of $(M, \tilde{g}, I, J, K)$ are hyperKähler when we use the symmetry X together with arbitrary twist data (F, a).

The Kähler form ω_I^W of the induced structure on W is by definition $\mathcal{H}$-related to

$$\tilde{\omega}_I = \tilde{g}(I\cdot, \cdot) = f\,\omega_I + h(\alpha_{0I} + \alpha_{JK}),$$

where $\alpha_{0I} = \alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_I$, etc. By (2.4), the exterior derivative of ω_I^W is then $\mathcal{H}$-related to $d_W\tilde{\omega}_I$, where

$$d_W = d - \frac{1}{a} F \wedge (X \lrcorner \cdot).$$

Thus in order for W to be hyperKähler we need

$$d_W\tilde{\omega}_I = 0 = d_W\tilde{\omega}_J = d_W\tilde{\omega}_K.$$

Noting that $d\alpha_I = d(X \lrcorner \omega_I) = L_X\omega_I = 0$, we compute the first of these to be

$$\begin{aligned} d_W\tilde{\omega}_I &= df \wedge \omega_I + d(h\alpha_0) \wedge \alpha_I + dh \wedge \alpha_{JK} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{a} F \wedge f\alpha_I - \frac{1}{a} Fh\|X\|^2 \wedge \alpha_I \\ &= df \wedge \omega_I + H \wedge \alpha_I + dh \wedge \alpha_{JK}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where

$$H = d(h\alpha_0) - \frac{1}{a}(f + h\|X\|^2)F.$$

Consider the orthogonal decompositions $TM = \mathbb{H}X + V$ and $T^*M = \mathbb{H}\alpha_0 + V^*$. At fixed points of X, the first summand is $\{0\}$. We declare elements of $\mathbb{H}\alpha_0$ to be of type $(1,0)$, and those of V^* to be of type $(0,1)$. This then gives a type decomposition of the exterior algebra $\Omega^*(M)$. For example we have

$\omega_I = \omega_I^{2,0} + \omega_I^{0,2}$. Splitting the equation $d_W\tilde{\omega}_I = 0$ in to components gives four equations, the first two of which are:

$$\text{Type } (0,3): \qquad df^{0,1} \wedge \omega_I^{0,2} = 0, \tag{5.3}$$

$$\text{Type } (1,2): \quad df^{1,0} \wedge \omega_I^{0,2} + H^{0,2} \wedge \alpha_I = 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Equation (5.3) implies that $df^{0,1} = 0$. Wedging equation (5.4) with α_I, then gives $df^{1,0} \wedge \alpha_I = 0$. Using the corresponding equations from $d_W\tilde{\omega}_J = 0 = d_W\tilde{\omega}_K$, we conclude that $df = 0$. Thus f is constant, and up to a homothety we may take $f = 1$.

With $f = 1$, the equation $d_W\tilde{\omega}_I = 0$ reduces to

$$H \wedge \alpha_I + dh \wedge \alpha_{JK} = 0 \tag{5.5}$$

Again wedging with α_I gives $dh \wedge \alpha_{IJK} = 0$ and so

$$dh = h_I\alpha_I + h_J\alpha_J + h_K\alpha_K$$

on the set $M' = M \setminus M^X$ where $X \neq 0$, for some functions h_I, h_J, h_K. Now equation (5.5) is $(H + h_I\alpha_{JK}) \wedge \alpha_I = 0$. It follows that on M' we have

$$H = -h_I\alpha_{JK} - h_J\alpha_{KI} - h_K\alpha_{IJ} = -*_3 dh,$$

where $*_3$ is the Hodge star operator of $g_{\mathbb{H}X}$ on $\langle \alpha_I, \alpha_J, \alpha_K \rangle$.

On M', the definition of H implies now that

$$\frac{1}{a} F(1 + h\|X\|^2) = d(h\alpha_0) + *_3 dh. \tag{5.6}$$

Contracting (5.6) with X gives

$$-(d\log a)(1 + h\|X\|^2) = X \lrcorner\, d(h\alpha_0) = L_X(h\alpha_0) - d(X \lrcorner\, h\alpha_0) = -d(h\|X\|^2),$$

so $d\log a = d\log(1 + h\|X\|^2)$ and we conclude that

$$a = \lambda(1 + h\|X\|^2) \tag{5.7}$$

for some (non-zero) constant λ. Returning to equation (5.6), we impose $dF = 0$, to get

$$0 = \frac{1}{\lambda} dF = d*_3 dh.$$

Summarising, we have proved the following result.

Theorem 5.1. *Let (M, g, I, J, K) be a connected hyperKähler manifold with an effective tri-holomorphic isometry X. Up to homothety and topological considerations,*

an elementary quaternionic deformation $\tilde{g}$ *has a twist with respect to* (X, a, F) *that is pseudo-hyperKähler if and only if on the dense open set* $M' = M \setminus M^X$ *we have*

$$\tilde{g} = g + h\, g_{\mathbb{H}X}, \quad a = \lambda(1 + h\|X\|^2) \neq 0, \quad F = \lambda(d(h\alpha_0) + *_3 dh)$$

for some non-zero constant λ *and some function* h *with* $dh \in \langle \alpha_I, \alpha_J, \alpha_K \rangle$ *harmonic:* $d*_3 dh = 0$.

If X *is tri-Hamiltonian, then* $\alpha_I = d\mu^I$*, etc., and* h *is locally a pull-back of a harmonic function* $h(\mu_I, \mu_J, \mu_K)$ *in* $\mathbb{R}^3$. □

As $\tilde{g}(X, X) = (1+h\|X\|^2)\|X\|^2$, the condition $a \neq 0$ guarantees that $\tilde{g}$ is non-degenerate. We get a positive definite hyperKähler metric if $1 + h\|X\|^2 > 0$.

The 'topological considerations' above are (i) that F has integral periods, so a twist bundle P exists, and (ii) that the resulting lifted action on P generated by $X' = \widetilde{X} + aZ$ gives a smooth quotient $W = P/\langle X' \rangle$. The freedom in the choice of λ can help in achieving these conditions. Otherwise λ is often irrelevant as a and F usually occur in the combination $\frac{1}{a}F$.

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose as in Theorem 5.1 that an elementary deformation of* (M, g, X) *twists via* (h, λ, a, F) *to* $(\check{M}, \check{g} \sim_{\mathcal{H}} \tilde{g}, \check{X} \sim_{\mathcal{H}} -\frac{1}{a}X)$*. Then* (M, g, X) *is obtained from a twist of an elementary deformation of* $(\check{M}, \check{g}, \check{X})$ *by the data*

$$\check{h} \sim_{\mathcal{H}} -\lambda^2 h, \quad \check{\lambda} \sim_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad \check{a} \sim_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{a}, \quad \check{F} \sim_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{a}F.$$

Proof. In general if W is the twist of M by (X, a, F) then the construction is inverted by the objects $\mathcal{H}$-related to $(-\frac{1}{a}X, \frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{a}F)$, see [34, §3.2].

In Theorem 5.1, $a = \lambda(1 + h\|X\|^2)$. The construction is inverted with $\check{X} \sim_{\mathcal{H}} -\frac{1}{a}X$, $\check{a} \sim_{\mathcal{H}} 1/a$, $\check{g} \sim_{\mathcal{H}} \tilde{g} = g + hg_{\mathbb{H}X}$ and $\tilde{\check{g}} = \check{g} + \check{h}g_{\mathbb{H}\check{X}} \sim_{\mathcal{H}} g$. We then have $\check{\alpha}_0 = \check{X} \lrcorner \check{g} \sim_{\mathcal{H}} -\frac{1}{a}X \lrcorner \tilde{g} = -\frac{1}{\lambda}\alpha_0$ and so $\|\check{X}\|^2 = \check{X} \lrcorner \check{\alpha}_0 \sim_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{\lambda a}X \lrcorner \alpha_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda a}\|X\|^2$. Taking $\check{\lambda} = 1/\lambda$, it follows that

$$\check{h} = (\tfrac{\check{a}}{\check{\lambda}} - 1)/\|\check{X}\|^2 \sim_{\mathcal{H}} (\tfrac{\lambda}{a} - 1)/(\tfrac{1}{\lambda a}\|X\|^2) = \lambda^2(1 - \frac{a}{\lambda})/\|X\|^2 = -\lambda^2 h. \quad (5.8)$$

As $\check{\alpha}_I \sim_{\mathcal{H}} -\frac{1}{\lambda}\alpha_I$, we have $\check{F} = \check{\lambda}(d(\check{h}\check{\alpha}_0) + *_3 d\check{h}) \sim_{\mathcal{H}} d(h\alpha_0) - \frac{1}{a}h\|X\|^2 F + *_3 dh = \frac{1}{a}F$, showing that the curvature forms are correctly related. □

By Theorem 4.3, the general hyperKähler modification corresponds to choosing $\lambda = -1$ and $h = V_N(\mu)$.

Corollary 5.3. *Suppose* (M, g, I, J, K) *is a hyperKähler manifold with a tri-Hamiltonian isometry* X*. Then the hyperKähler twist in Theorem 5.1 of* $\tilde{g} = g + hg_{\mathbb{H}X}$ *with* $h = -V_N(\mu)$ *inverts the general modification construction.*

Proof. Suppose that M of the Corollary is the general modification of the hyperKähler manifold $(\check{M}, \check{g}, \check{I}, \check{J}, \check{K})$ by $(\check{X}, \check{\mu}, V_N(\check{\mu}))$. Then taking $\check{\lambda} = -1$, we have $\check{h} = V_N(\check{\mu})$. Furthermore $d\check{\mu}^I = \alpha_{\check{I}} \sim_{\mathcal{H}} \alpha_I = d\mu^I$, so we may take the function μ that is $\mathcal{H}$-related to $\check{\mu}$ as the hyperKähler moment map. This gives $V_N(\check{\mu}) \sim_{\mathcal{H}} V_N(\mu)$ and it follows that $h \sim_{\mathcal{H}} -\check{h}$ is $h = -V_N(\mu)$, as claimed. □

Rereading the proofs of §4, one sees that on the smooth set this inversion may be interpreted as a general modification with respect to N equipped with the negative definite hyperKähler metric with potential $-V_N$.

In the case of an ordinary modification, the potential function gives $h = 1/(2\|\mu\|)$. For the above inverse modification to produce a non-degenerate positive definite hyperKähler metric we need $1 + h\|X\|^2 > 0$, i.e.,

$$\|X\|^2 < 2\|\mu\|, \tag{5.9}$$

away from zeros of μ. On $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{H}$, with the standard circle action and $\mu = \mu_{\mathbb{H}}$, we have that $\|X_{\mathbb{H}}\|^2 = 1/V_{\mathbb{H}} = 2\|\mu\|$.

Corollary 5.4. *The standard flat hyperKähler structure on $\mathbb{R}^4$ is not the hyperKähler modification of any other hyperKähler manifold.*

Proof. Any tri-holomorphic isometric circle action on $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^4$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ and so is conjugate to the standard action generated by $X_{\mathbb{H}}$. In general $\mu = \mu_{\mathbb{H}} + c$ for some $c \in \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$. However, the image of $\mu_{\mathbb{H}}$ is all of $\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$, so there is a $p \in \mu^{-1}(0)$. The limit of $(2\|\mu\| - \|X_{\mathbb{H}}\|^2)(q)$ as $q \to p$ is $-\|X_{\mathbb{H}}\|^2(p)$. If $\mathbb{R}^4$ is a hyperKähler modification, this limit is non-negative, so we have $\|X_{\mathbb{H}}\|^2 = 0$ at p. This implies $p = 0$, and $\mu = \mu_{\mathbb{H}}$, but now (5.9) is satisfied nowhere, and $\mathbb{R}^4$ can not be a modification of any other hyperKähler manifold. □

Note that topologically $\mathbb{R}^4$ does carry metrics that are hyperKähler modifications; these are given by Taub-NUT deformations of the flat metric. The potential is $V = 1/(2\|\mu\|) + c$, with $c > 0$; these are the original Taub-NUT metrics. By the discussion at the end of §4, these may also be regarded as a standard modification of $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Just like the flat metric, the circle action on the Taub-NUT metric has a unique fixed-point.

6 Pseudo-Riemannian structures

Up to this point we have worked with hyperKähler geometries where the metric is positive definite. However, much of what we have discussed applies also to pseudo-Riemannian structures.

Theorem 6.1. *Let (M, g, I, J, K) be a pseudo-hyperKähler manifold with a locally free, tri-holomorphic isometry X. Then the only twists that are pseudo-hyperKähler are given by the data of Theorem 5.1.*

Proof. At points where X is not null, the proof above goes through without change. If X is null is null at p, but non-zero, choose a local vector field Y such that $g(X,Y) = 1$ and $g(AX,Y) = 0$ for $A = I$, J and K. Then $TM = \mathbb{H}X + \mathbb{H}Y + V'$, where V' is the orthogonal complement to $\mathbb{H}X + \mathbb{H}Y$. Writing $\beta_0 = Y^\flat$, etc., we have

$$\omega_I = \alpha_0\beta_I - \alpha_I\beta_0 + \alpha_J\beta_K - \alpha_K\beta_J + \omega_I^{0;2},$$

where we have declared elements of $\mathbb{H}\alpha_0 + \mathbb{H}\beta_0$ to be type $(1;0)$ and those of $(V')^*$ to be type $(0;1)$. We now consider the equation $d_W\tilde{\omega}_I = 0$, with $d_W\tilde{\omega}_I$ given by (5.2). The $(0;3)$-component says $df^{0;1} \wedge \omega_I^{0;2} = 0$, so $df^{0;1} = 0$. The $(1;2)$-component gives $df^{1;0}\wedge\omega_I^{0;2} + H^{0;2}\wedge\alpha_I = 0$, which implies $df^{1;0}\wedge\alpha_I = 0$. Imposing $d_W\tilde{\omega}_J = 0 = d_W\tilde{\omega}_K$, we conclude that $df = 0$, and again we may take $f \equiv 1$. The rest of the proof now proceeds as in the definite case. □

7 Singular behaviour

Returning to the Riemannian setting, we wish to analyse some of the local singular behaviour and apply this to complete hyperKähler metrics. To be more precise, suppose we have two hyperKähler manifolds M and $\check{M}$ which have open dense sets related to each other by a combination of twist and elementary deformation as in Theorem 5.1. We consider what singular behaviour is allowed for the deformation function h and what can happen at fixed points of the symmetries.

We start by analysing the moment map μ around a zero of X.

Proposition 7.1. *Suppose M is a hyperKähler manifold with an effective tri-Hamiltonian action of a circle. Then the hyperKähler moment map $\mu\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is an open map.*

Proof. Away from the fixed point set M^X, the derivative $d\mu$ has full rank, so μ is open on $M' = M \setminus M^X$. We need to describe the behaviour around fixed points.

Fix $p \in M^X$. Then S^1 acts on T_pM as a non-trivial compact subgroup of $Sp(n)$ with infinitesimal generator ∇X_p. It therefore acts a subgroup of a maximal torus and there is an orthogonal quaternionic splitting $T_pM = W \oplus Z$, where Z is a trivial module and W is a direct sum of non-trivial irreducible S^1-modules. The trivial module Z is the tangent space to M^X at p. Let $W_1 \leqslant W$ be an S^1-invariant quaternionic module of real dimension 4; write W_2 for its orthogonal complement in W. Then $T_pM = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus Z$, orthogonally and quaternionically.

The exponential map at p is a local diffeomorphism onto an open normal neighbourhood U of p. We may write $M_1 = \exp_p(W_1) \cap U$, which is an S^1-invariant submanifold. Let $(x^1, \dots, x^{4n})$ be Riemannian normal coordinates on U, with $\partial/\partial x^i|_p$, for $i = 1, \dots, 4$, an orthonormal quaternionic basis

for W_1. Then $(x^1,\dots,x^4)$ are coordinates on M_1, identifying M_1 with a neighbourhood of the origin in $\mathbb{H}$, in such a way that the quaternionic structures agree at p. Moreover this identification is equivariant for some non-zero multiple k of $X_{\mathbb{H}}$ on $\mathbb{H}$. Let μ_0 be the pull-back to M_1 of the hyperKähler moment map $k\mu_{\mathbb{H}}$ on $\mathbb{H}$ for $kX_{\mathbb{H}}$.

On M^X, we have $d\mu = X \lrcorner (\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K) = 0$, so μ is locally constant on M^X. We may assume for convenience that $\mu(p) = 0$. On the other hand X only vanishes at p on M_1, so the map $\overline{\mu}\colon M_1/S^1 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ induced by μ is a local diffeomorphism away from p. The second derivative of μ at p is determined by ∇X_p via

$$\nabla^2\mu_I = \nabla(d\mu_I) = \nabla(IX)^\flat = I\nabla X^\flat.$$

etc. It follows that μ and μ_0 agree to second order at $p \in M_1$.

The moment map $\mu_{\mathbb{H}}$ induces a homeomorphism $\overline{\mu_{\mathbb{H}}}\colon \mathbb{H}/\langle X_{\mathbb{H}}\rangle \to \mathbb{R}^3$ and satisfies $\mu_{\mathbb{H}}(B_{\mathbb{H}}(0,r)) = B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0,r/2)$ for each $r > 0$. This implies $\mu_0(B(p,r)) = B_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0,|k|r/2)$ for small r. We may now write $\mu - \mu_0 = \Psi = \Psi_0 \circ \mu_0$ on M_1 and note that $d\Psi_p = 0$. There is an $r > 0$ such that $\|d\Psi\| < 1/(4|k|)$ on $\overline{B}_{M_1}(p,r) \subset U$, so $\Psi(\overline{B}_{M_1}(p,r)) \subset \overline{B}_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0,r/(4|k|))$. It follows that $\Psi_0(\overline{B}_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0,r/2)) \subset \overline{B}_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0,r/4)$.

We claim that $\overline{\mu}$ is a homeomorphism $\overline{B}_{M_1}(p,r)/S^1 \to \overline{B}_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0,r/2)$. For $\nu \in \overline{B}_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0,r/4)$, consider $k_\nu\colon \overline{B}_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0,r/2) \to \overline{B}_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0,r/2)$, defined by $k_\nu(u) = \nu - \Psi_0(u)$. This is a metric space contraction with factor $1/2$, so by Banach's Fixed-Point Theorem there is a unique $u = \overline{\mu}_0(x)$ satisfying $\overline{\mu}(x) = \nu$. Thus $\overline{\mu}$ is a continuous bijection, and by compactness, a homeomorphism.

It follows that $\mu(p)$ is an interior point of $\mu(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and we have proved that μ is an open map. □

Note that the corresponding result is not true for hyperKähler moment maps for non-Abelian group actions, see [12].

Corollary 7.2. *Let L be a component of a level set of a hyperKähler moment map $\mu\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^3$ for an effective circle action. Then either*

(i) *L has codimension 4 and is smooth, or*
(ii) *L has codimension 3 and any singular set is of codimension at least 5 in L.*

Proof. Let p be a point of L. If $X_p \neq 0$, then μ is regular at p. It follows that and near p, the set L is smooth and of codimension 3.

If $X_p = 0$, then $p \in M^X$. Adding a constant to μ, we may take $\mu(p) = 0$. Write C for the component of M^X that contains p. Then C is smooth of codimension 4ℓ for some $\ell \geqslant 1$ and $C \subset L$. Using the notation of the previous proof, fix an S^1-invariant four-dimensional slice $M_1 = \exp_p(W_1) \cap U$ that is equivariantly diffeomorphic to a neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{H}$ with circle action generated by $kX_{\mathbb{H}}$. Then we saw that the moment map μ induces a homeomorphism $\overline{\mu}$ from $M_1/\langle X\rangle$ to a neighbourhood of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

If codim $C = 4$, then W_1 is the whole fibre W of the normal bundle of C. As $\overline{\mu}$ is a homeomorphism, we get that $C = L$. Thus L is smooth of codimension 4.

If codim $C = 4\ell > 4$, then we claim that L contains a regular point of μ. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, so that each $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon]$ the set $M_\delta = \exp_p(W) \cap B_\delta(p)$ be a transverse slice to C in the δ-ball around p. Suppose $M_\delta \setminus \{p\}$ contains no zero of μ, so $\mu(M_\delta \setminus \{p\}) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$. It follows that we get a continuous map $S^{4\ell-1} \to S^2$. Now the restriction of this map to $S^3 \subset M_1 \cap B_\delta(p)$ is a non-trivial circle bundle, but S^3 is homotopic to a point in $S^{4\ell-1}$, $\ell \geqslant 2$, so this is impossible. It follows that $M_\delta \setminus \{p\}$ meets $\mu^{-1}(0)$ for each $0 < \delta \leqslant \varepsilon$. In particular p lies in the closure of $Z = (\mu^{-1}(0) \cap M_\varepsilon) \setminus \{p\}$.

Now (M, g) is Ricci-flat, so the geometry and the vector field X are real analytic in harmonic coordinates. It follows that μ is real analytic, so Z is a semi-analytic set. The Curve Selection Lemma for semi-analytic sets [36, 28], or the Rectilinearization Theorem [9, Theorem 0.2], implies that there is a C^1 (or analytic) curve $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to M_\varepsilon$, with $\gamma(0) = p$ and $\gamma(0,1] \subset Z$. Thus the component L of $\mu^{-1}(0)$ containing p contains a regular point $q = \gamma(1)$ of $Z \subset \mu^{-1}(0)$. It follows that codim $L = 3$ and the singular points of L lie in fixed points sets for X of codimension at least 8 in M, so at least codimension 5 in L. □

Lemma 7.3. *Suppose M is a hyperKähler manifold and that X generates a tri-Hamiltonian circle action with hyperKähler moment map μ. If p is a fixed point of X, then there is an open neighbourhood U of p and a constant $c > 0$ such that $c\|X_q\|^2 \geqslant \|\mu(q) - \mu(p)\|$ for all $q \in U$.*

Proof. Choose geodesically convex neighbourhoods V, W of p in M with $M^X \cap \overline{V}$ connected, $\overline{V}$ compact and $\overline{V} \subset W$. Note that $d\mu = X \lrcorner (\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K)$ is zero at points of M^X, so μ is constant on $M^X \cap \overline{V}$.

For $q \in W$, let $p' \in M^X \cap \overline{V}$ be the closest point to q and let γ be the minimal unit speed geodesic in W from $p' = \gamma(0)$ to $q = \gamma(T)$. Then for $t \in [0, T]$ we have

$$\|\mu(\gamma(t)) - \mu(0)\| \leqslant t \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|d(\mu \circ \gamma)_{\gamma(s)}\| \tag{7.1}$$

As $d\mu = (IX^\flat, JX^\flat, KX^\flat)$, we have $\|d\mu\|^2 = 3\|X\|^2$. As γ is unit speed we thus have $\|d(\mu \circ \gamma)_{\gamma(s)}\| \leqslant \sqrt{3}\|X_{\gamma(s)}\|$.

Note that $v = \dot\gamma(0)$ is a unit vector orthogonal to $T_{p'}M^X = \ker \nabla X$. Let $f(t; v, p') = f(t) = \|X_{\gamma(t)}\|^2$. Then $f(0) = 0 = \dot f(0)$ and $\ddot f(0) = 2g(\nabla_v X, \nabla_v X) > 0$.

Let m be the minimum of the second derivative $\ddot f(0; v, p')$ over the compact set S consisting of all (v, p') with $p' \in M^X \cap \overline{V}$ and $v \in (T_{p'}M^X)^\perp$. Now there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\ddot f(t; v, p') > m/2$ for all $t < \varepsilon$ and all $(v, p') \in S$. We then have $\dot f(t) > tm/2$ on $(0, \varepsilon]$, so f is increasing. We also get $f(t) > t^2 m/4$

on $(0,\varepsilon]$. It follows that $t < (2/\sqrt{m})\|X_{\gamma(t)}\|$, and $\sup_{s\in[0,t]}\|d(\mu\circ\gamma)_{\gamma(s)}\| \leqslant \sqrt{3}\|X_{\gamma(t)}\|$, whenever $t \in (0,\varepsilon]$.

Let U be an open neighbourhood of p contained in the set of points $\{\, f(t;\nu,p') \mid (\nu,p') \in S, |t| < \varepsilon \,\}$. Then for each $q \in U$, we now get from (7.1) that

$$\|\mu(q) - \mu(0)\| \leqslant c\|X_q\|^2$$

for $c = \sqrt{12/m}$, as claimed. □

Suppose (M,X,μ) is a hyperKähler manifold with tri-Hamiltonian circle action generated by X and with hyperKähler moment map μ.

We say a subset $E \subset M$ is *moment-polar*, if for each $p \in M$ there is are open neighbourhoods U of $p \in M$ and V of $p_0 = \mu(p) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $U \subset \mu^{-1}(V)$ and $E \cap U \subset \mu^{-1}(D) \cap U$ for some polar set $D \subset V$. A function h on $M \setminus E$ will be of *pull-back type* if each $p \in M$ has a neighbourhood U such that the restriction of h to $U \setminus E$ is the pull-back under μ of a function in $\mathbb{R}^3$. A necessary condition for h to be of pull-back type is that $dh \in \langle \alpha_I, \alpha_J, \alpha_K\rangle$. This condition is sufficient on most points of M. Indeed if p has neighbourhood U such that the generic fibres of μ in U are connected, then such an h is of pull-back type in U. Any regular point of μ has such a neighbourhood, as does any point of a codimension 4 component of M^X, by the proof of Proposition 7.1. In the flat case, points of higher-dimensional fixed-point sets also have this property, so h is of pull-back type on all of M.

Suppose (M,X,μ) and $(\check{M},\check{X},\check{\mu})$ are two such hyperKähler manifolds with tri-Hamiltonian circle actions. We will say that M and $\check{M}$ are *moment-harmonically equivalent* if there are open subsets $M_0 \subset M$, $\check{M}_0 \subset \check{M}$ such that

(i) the hyperKähler geometries on M_0 and $\check{M}_0$ are related by a combination of an elementary deformation and a twist as in Theorem 5.1 with h and $\check{h}$ of pull-back type, and that
(ii) $E = M \setminus M_0$ and $\check{E} = \check{M} \setminus \check{M}_0$ are moment-polar subsets.

Theorem 7.4. *Let (M,X,μ) and $(\check{M},\check{X},\check{\mu})$ be two complete moment-harmonically equivalent tri-Hamiltonian hyperKähler manifolds. Let $h\colon M_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ be the deforming function, so that $\check{g}$ is $\mathcal{H}$-related to $\tilde{g} = g + hg_{\mathbb{H}X}$. Then for each $p \in M$, there is a neighbourhood U on which $h = \mu^*\psi$ where*

$$\psi(q) = \frac{\sigma}{2\|q - p_0\|} + \phi(q) \tag{7.2}$$

with $p_0 = \mu(p)$, $\sigma \in \{-1,0,1\}$ and ϕ harmonic on $\mu(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. If $\sigma = -1$, then p is a fixed point of X, the component C of the fixed point set through p is of codimension 4 and C is also a component of a level set of μ.

In other words, locally the singular behaviour of such moment-harmonic equivalence is given by the hyperKähler modification.

Proof. For $p \in M_0$, the function h is the pull-back of a harmonic function under μ in a neighbourhood of p and we have the required relation with $\sigma = 0$.

The geometries on M_0 and $\check{M}_0$ are related by Proposition 5.2, where we may take $\lambda = -1$. The proof of that proposition, shows that $d\check{\mu}_I = \check{\alpha}_I \sim_{\mathcal{H}} \alpha_I = d\mu_I$, etc. By adding a constant to $\check{\mu}$, we may thus assume that $\check{\mu} \sim_{\mathcal{H}} \mu$. The deforming function $\check{h}$ from $\check{M}_0$ to M_0 is then $\mathcal{H}$-related to $-h$.

As $\check{g} \sim_{\mathcal{H}} \tilde{g} = g + hg_{\mathbb{H}X}$ is positive definite, we have $h > -1/\|X\|^2$ on M_0. Conversely for $g \sim_{\mathcal{H}} \tilde{\check{g}} = \check{g} + \check{h}g_{\mathbb{H}\check{X}}$ to be positive definite, we need $h \sim_{\mathcal{H}} -\check{h} < 1/\|\check{X}\|^2$ on $\check{M}_0$.

Let $p \in E = M \setminus M_0$. There is a neighbourhood U of p and a polar set D in $\mu(U)$ such that $E \cap U \subset \mu^{-1}(D) \cap U$. Note that $\mu(E \cap U) \subset D$, so we can replace D by $\mu(E \cap U)$. Also, for each compact set $C \subset U$, we have $E \cap C$ is compact, so $\mu(E \cap C) = D \cap \mu(C)$ is compact, and in particular closed. Shrinking U we may thus take D to be closed in $\mu(U)$.

Let us say that a point $p \in E = M \setminus M_0$ is *strongly accessible* if there is a $q \in \mu(U) \setminus D$ such that $p_0 = \mu(p)$ is a closest point in D to q and the line segment $[q, p_0]$ lies in $\mu(U)$. Note that E always has strongly accessible points. Indeed for any $p \in E$, each compact neighbourhood of p contains a strongly accessible point of E.

Let $p \in E$ be strongly accessible. Because μ is analytic, it follows that there is a smooth curve $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to U \subset M$ with $\gamma(1) = p$ and $\mu(\gamma[0,1]) = [q, p_0] \subset \mu(U)$. We then have $\gamma[0,1) \subset M_0$.

We may lift $\gamma|_{[0,1)}$ horizontally to the twist bundle P and project to $\check{M}_0$, to get a smooth curve $\check{\gamma}\colon [0,1) \to \check{M}_0$. Say that $\check{\gamma}$ is $\mathcal{H}$-related to γ. The length of $\check{\gamma}$ is given by

$$\ell(\check{\gamma}) = \int_0^1 \tilde{g}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})^{1/2}\, dt \leqslant \int_0^1 \|\dot{\gamma}\|(1 + h\|X\|^2)^{1/2}\, dt$$

Shrinking U if necessary, we may assume that h is a pull-back on $U_0 = U \setminus E$ and that $M^X \cap U$ is connected. If $p \notin M^X$, we may take $M^X \cap U = \varnothing$, then h is bounded below on U_0. If $p \in M^X$, then Lemma 7.3 implies $h(p') > -1/\|X\|^2 \geqslant -1/(c\|\mu(p') - p_0\|)$ on $U_0 \setminus M^X$, so $h_+ = h + 1/(c\|\mu - p_0\|)$ is bounded below on $U_0' = U_0 \setminus M^X$. In both cases there are then positive constants c_3, c_4 and c_5 such that $h_+ = c_3 + c_4 h + c_5/\|\mu - p_0\| > 0$ on $U_0 \setminus M^X$ and

$$\ell(\check{\gamma}) \leqslant \int_0^1 h_+(\gamma(t))^{1/2}\, dt.$$

Now h_+ is the pull-back of a positive harmonic function on $\mu(U_0) = \mu(U) \setminus D$, where D is polar and closed in $\mu(U)$, and so there is an estimate

$$h_+(\gamma(t)) \leqslant c_1 + \frac{c_2}{\operatorname{dist}(\mu(\gamma(t)), D)} = c_1 + \frac{c_2}{\|\mu(\gamma(t)) - p_0\|}$$

similar to (3.3). It follows that $\check\gamma$ has finite length. By completeness of $(\check M, \check g)$, there is a limit point $\check p = \lim_{t\nearrow 1}\check\gamma(t)\in\check M$.

Choose a similar type of neighbourhood $\check U$ to $\check p$, so that the zero set of $\check X$ is either disjoint from $\check U$ or meets it in a single component passing through $\check p$. We may shrink U and $\check U$ if necessary so that the pull-backs of U_0 and $\check U_0$ to the twist bundle P agree. On U_0' we then have

$$-\frac{1}{c\|\mu-p_0\|}<-\frac{1}{\|X\|^2}<h\sim_{\mathcal H}-\check h<\frac{1}{\|\check X\|^2}<\frac{1}{\check c\|\mu-p_0\|} \tag{7.3}$$

for some positive numbers c and $\check c$.

If h is bounded on U_0' then it has a continuous moment-harmonic extension to U of the desired form with $\sigma=0$ in (7.2). If h is not bounded, then $h_+=h+\frac{1}{c\|\mu-p_0\|}$ is positive moment-harmonic on U_0'. Furthermore, away from $\mu^{-1}(p_0)$, it is bounded above. As $E\cap U$ is moment-polar, the function h_+ extends to a positive moment-harmonic function on $U\setminus\mu^{-1}(p_0)$. Then by Bôcher's Theorem the extension has singular part a constant times $\|\mu-p_0\|^{-1}$. In other words, h has the desired form with $\sigma\neq 0$ in (7.2); however we still need to constrain the possible non-zero values of σ.

Let us consider the case when $\sigma<0$, the other case $\sigma>0$ will follow similarly by considering $\check h$ instead of h. As h is not bounded below, we have from (7.3) that $\|X\|=0$ on the component of the fibre of μ through p. Since fixed-point sets of X are at least of codimension 4, Corollary 7.2 implies that the fibre of μ through p coincides with the fixed point set and is of codimension 4.

In particular, the normal bundle of the fibre through p is of dimension 4. Using the exponential map as in the proof of Proposition 7.1, we get a four-dimensional slice M_1 through p, which at the origin is equivariantly identified with $\mathbb H$ and $kX_{\mathbb H}$. Effectivity of the circle action, implies that $k=\pm1$. Replacing X by $-X$ if necessary, we may assume $k=+1$. For a small distance sphere in M_1 centred at p, a connection one-form for the circle fibration via μ to $S\subset\mathbb R^3\setminus\{0\}$ is given by $\beta_0=\alpha/\|X\|^2$. This has curvature $d\beta_0=\|X\|^{-2}d\alpha_0+\|X\|^{-4}(X\lrcorner d\alpha_0)\wedge\alpha_0$.

On the other side of the twist, $\check\alpha_0\sim_{\mathcal H}\alpha_0$ and $\|\check X\|^2\sim_{\mathcal H}\|X\|^2/(1+h\|X\|^2)$ imply $\check\beta_0\sim_{\mathcal H}\alpha_0(h+\|X\|^{-2})=\beta_0+h\alpha_0$. The curvature form is then

$$\begin{aligned}d\check\beta_0&\sim_{\mathcal H}(d-a^{-1}F\wedge X\lrcorner)(\beta_0+h\alpha_0)\\&=d\beta_0+d(h\alpha_0)-\frac{1}{1+h\|X\|^2}(d(h\alpha_0)+*_3dh)(1+h\|X\|^2)\\&=d\beta_0-*_3dh.\end{aligned}$$

Thus the change in the Chern class is given by $-\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_S *_3dh=\frac{\sigma}{4\pi}\int_S *d(\|p_0-q\|^{-1})$. Initially the Chern class is $+1$; the only possible values after the twist are $+1$ or 0. We have $\sigma<0$, so the change is non-trivial. It follows that h changes the Chern class by -1, and thus $\sigma=-1$, as claimed.

The above describes h in a neighbourhood U_p of a strongly accessible point p of E. We will say that $p \in M$ is *good* if h has the desired form (7.2) in a neighbourhood U of p and for each regular curve γ in U through p there is a regular curve $\check{\gamma}$ on $\check{M}$, such that each segment of $\check{\gamma}$ in $\check{M}_0$ is $\mathcal{H}$-related to the corresponding segment of γ. Let A be the set of good points of M. Then A is open, contains M_0 and all strongly accessible points of E.

Suppose A is not all of M. Fix $x \in M_0$ and let p be a boundary point of A closest to x. When then have a minimal geodesic $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to M$ with $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma(1) = p$. This has $\gamma[0,1) \subset A$.

Choose a neighbourhood U of p such that h is a pull-back on $U \setminus E$ and which meets at most one connected component of M^X. If $U \cap M^X$ is non-empty we may assume $p \in M^X$. Then there are positive constants c_0 and c_1 such that $h_+ = h + c_0 + c_1/\|\mu - p_0\|$, for $p_0 = \mu(p)$, is a positive moment-harmonic function on $U \setminus E$, cf. derivation of the left-hand side of (7.3). Now since h is given via (7.2) on $A \cap U$, the only singularities of h_+ on $U \cap A$ are of the form $\mu^*(+1/(2\|q - p_i\|))$. Because h_+ take a finite value at some point of U, it follows that the p_i have no accumulation point. In particular, we may shrink U, so that h_+ is bounded on $U \cap A$. Replacing γ by a final segment lying in this new U, we get a curve in A of finite length in the metric $\tilde{g}$ and ending at p. We may assume the initial point y of this final segment lies in M_0, and choose a point z in P lying over y. The curves $\mathcal{H}$-related to segments $\gamma[0, 1-\varepsilon]$ of γ may be uniquely specified by requiring them to start at the projection of z to $\check{M}$. We thus get a curve $\check{\gamma}\colon [0,1) \to \check{M}$ of finite length, and hence a limit point $\check{p} \in \check{M}$. Analysing this in the same way as for strongly accessible points, using the smoothness of $\check{M}$ at $\check{p}$, we get the desired form for h around p. We conclude that A is all of M, and thus that h has the claimed form everywhere. □

Similar considerations show that locally any fixed-point set of codimension 4 may be twisted away after applying a Taub-NUT deformation, so that (5.9) is satisfied near the fixed-point set. Further knowledge of the structure of the moment map μ is required to prove global versions of Theorem 7.4. For example, if it is known that the fibres of μ are connected, then it follows that h is globally a function of μ and $h = \mu^*\psi$ with

$$\psi(q) = \phi(q) + \sum_{i \in I} \frac{\sigma_i}{2\|q - p_i\|}$$

for some distinct $p_i \in \mu(M)$, $\sigma_i \in \{\pm 1\}$, and ϕ harmonic function on all of $\mu(M) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

8 Strong HKT metrics

A *strong HKT* structure consists of an almost hyper-Hermitian (M, g, I, J, K) such that the following two conditions hold:

$$Id\omega_I = Jd\omega_J = Kd\omega_K, \tag{8.1}$$

$$dId\omega_I = 0. \tag{8.2}$$

Condition (8.1) ensures that the manifold is hyperKähler with torsion, HKT, the torsion three-form of the common Bismut connection is $c = -Id\omega_I$. By [27], I, J and K are then necessarily integrable. Condition (8.2) is equivalent to the strong condition $dc = 0$.

Starting with a hyperKähler manifold with a symmetry it is natural to ask which elementary quaternionic deformations (5.1) twist to strong HKT structures.

The following lemma used in the proof of the subsequent Theorem, will establish the notion of rank of a two-form.

Lemma 8.1. *Let ζ and η be two forms an a vector space V. Write* $\ker\zeta = \{v \in V \,|\, v \lrcorner \zeta = 0\}$ *and* $\operatorname{rank}\zeta = \dim V - \dim\ker\zeta$. *Suppose*

$$\zeta \wedge \eta = 0 \tag{8.3}$$

and that $\zeta \neq 0$. If $\operatorname{rank}\zeta > 4$ *then $\eta = 0$. If* $\operatorname{rank}\zeta = 4$, *then* $\operatorname{rank}\eta \leqslant 4$ *and* $\ker\eta \geqslant \ker\zeta$.

Proof. Let $U = (\ker\zeta)^\circ$ be the annihilator of $\ker\zeta$ and choose a vector space complement W to U, so $V^* = U \oplus W$. Then $\Lambda^2V^* = \Lambda^2U \oplus U \wedge W \oplus \Lambda^2W$ and we may correspondingly decompose $\eta = \eta_U + \eta_m + \eta_W$. Now (8.3), implies $0 = \zeta \wedge \eta_W \in \Lambda^2U \otimes \Lambda^2W$, so $\eta_W = 0$. As ζ is non-degenerate on $\ker W$, the dimension of U is even and the map $\zeta\wedge\cdot\colon \Lambda^kU \to \Lambda^{k+2}U$ is injective for $k = 1$ if $\dim U \geqslant 4$ and $k = 2$ if $\dim U \geqslant 6$. But (8.3) implies $0 = \zeta \wedge \eta_m \in \Lambda^3U \otimes W$ and $0 = \zeta \wedge \eta_U \in \Lambda^4U$, from which the result follows. □

Theorem 8.2. *Let (M, g, I, J, K) be a hyperKähler manifold with a tri-holomorphic symmetry X and dual one-form $\alpha_0 = X^\flat$. If the rank of $d\alpha_0$ is at least 12 then the only twists of elementary quaternionic deformations $\tilde{g}$ that are strong HKT are given by*

$$\tilde{g} = g + h\, g_{\mathbb{H}X}, \quad a = \lambda\|X\|^2, \quad F = \lambda d\alpha_0$$

for some non-zero constant λ and some function h with $dh \in \langle\alpha_I, \alpha_J, \alpha_K\rangle$ and harmonic: $d*_3dh = 0$.

In all cases, regardless of the rank of $d\alpha_0$, the above twists are strong HKT. They are not hyperKähler unless $d\alpha_0 = \|X\|^2(dh\wedge\alpha_0 + *_3dh)$, so X has rank 4, and $h = c - 1/\|X\|^2$ for some constant c. If X has rank 4, then the distribution $\mathbb{H}X$ is parallel, and so (M, g) is reducible.

Proof. We use the notation of §5. Let us write equation (5.2) as

$$d_W\tilde{\omega}_I = df \wedge \omega_I + dh \wedge (\alpha_{0I} + \alpha_{JK}) + h d\alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_I - \tilde{h} F \wedge \alpha_I,$$

with $\tilde{h} = (f + h\|X\|^2)/a$. For the twist to be hypercomplex we need F to be of complex type $(1,1)$ for I, J and K, that is $F \in S^2E \cong \mathfrak{sp}(n) \subset \Lambda^2 T^*M$, see [34]. As X is a tri-holomorphic isometry, we also have $d\alpha_0 \in S^2E$, so the HKT condition (8.1) becomes

$$Idf \wedge \omega_I + Idh \wedge \omega_I^\alpha = Jdf \wedge \omega_J + Jdh \wedge \omega_J^\alpha = Kdf \wedge \omega_K + Kdh \wedge \omega_K^\alpha, \quad (8.4)$$

where $\omega_I^\alpha = \alpha_{0I} + \alpha_{JK}$, etc. Decomposing with respect to the splitting $TM = \mathbb{H}X + V$, as above, we see $Idf^{0,1} \wedge \omega_I^{0,2} = Jdf^{0,1} \wedge \omega_J^{0,2}$. As $\dim V \geqslant 8$, this implies $df^{0,1} = 0$. Considering the $(1,2)$-component of (8.4), then gives $df = 0$. Scaling by a homothety we may thus take $f \equiv 1$. It follows that $dh^{0,1} = 0$, and as $Xh = 0$, we have $dh = h_I\alpha_I + h_J\alpha_J + h_K\alpha_K$, for some functions h_I, h_J and h_K.

We now write

$$d_W\tilde{\omega}_I = dh \wedge \omega_I^\alpha + h d\alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_I - \frac{1}{a}(1 + h\|X\|^2)F \wedge \alpha_I$$

giving

$$c_W \sim_{\mathcal{H}} c = -Id_W\tilde{\omega}_I = (*_3 dh + h d_W\alpha_0 - \frac{1}{a}F) \wedge \alpha_0$$

The strong condition (8.2) is

$$0 = d_W c = d*_3 dh \wedge \alpha_0 + (d_W(h\alpha_0) - \frac{1}{a}F + *_3 dh) \wedge d_W\alpha_0.$$

We may rewrite this equation as

$$0 = \Delta h \, \mathrm{vol}_\alpha + \zeta \wedge \eta, \quad (8.5)$$

where $\zeta = (dh \wedge \alpha_0 + *_3 dh) + h d\alpha_0 - \frac{1}{a}(1 + h\|X\|^2)F$, $\eta = d\alpha_0 - \frac{1}{a}\|X\|^2 F$, $\mathrm{vol}_\alpha = \alpha_{0IJK}$ is Δh is the three-dimensional Laplacian. Note that ζ and η lie in $[S^2E]$, and that, by the arguments after equation (5.6), $\zeta = 0$ if and only if the twist is hyperKähler. We will therefore assume $\zeta \neq 0$. Note also $\zeta, \eta \in [S^2E]$ implies that their ranks are multiples of 4.

If h is harmonic, then we have $\zeta \wedge \eta = 0$. Applying Lemma 8.1, we get that either $\eta = 0$ or ζ and η both have rank 4, with common kernel. In the latter case, we may write $d\alpha_0$ as a linear combination of $dh \wedge \alpha_0 + *_3 dh$, ζ and η. As ζ and η have the same kernel, this implies that $d\alpha_0$ has rank at most 8, contradicting our assumption.

If $\eta = 0$, then we have $d\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{a}\|X\|^2 F$. Contracting with X, gives $d\log a = d\log\|X\|^2$, so $a = \lambda\|X\|^2$ and $F = \lambda d\alpha_0$ for some constant λ.

Suppose now that h is not harmonic. Then $\zeta^{2,0} \wedge \eta^{2,0} = -\Delta h \operatorname{vol}_\alpha \neq 0$, implies that $\zeta^{2,0}$ and $\eta^{2,0}$ are both non-zero, and hence non-degenerate forms on $\mathbb{H}X$. Note that they have the opposite orientation to ω_I^α.

The $(3,1)$-equation $\zeta^{1,1}\wedge\eta^{2,0}+\zeta^{2,0}\wedge\eta^{1,1} = 0$ from (8.5) implies that $\eta^{1,1}$ is uniquely determined by $\zeta^{1,1}$ and that the intersections of their kernels with V are the same space V'.

Suppose $\zeta^{1,1} = 0$, then $\eta^{1,1} = 0$ too and the $(2,2)$-component of (8.5) is $\zeta^{2,0}\wedge\eta^{0,2} + \zeta^{0,2}\wedge\eta^{2,0} = 0$. This means that $\zeta^{0,2}$ and $\eta^{0,2}$ have the same rank and the same kernel. As $d\alpha_0$ has rank at least four on V, one of these forms is non-zero, and so both are. But the $(0,4)$-component says $\zeta^{0,2} \wedge \eta^{0,2} = 0$. By Lemma 8.1, we have that both these forms have rank 4 and conclude that the rank of $d\alpha_0$ is at most 8, contradicting our assumption.

We have thus shown that $\zeta^{1,1}$ and $\eta^{1,1}$ are non-zero. Considering the $(1,3)$-component $\zeta^{1,1} \wedge \eta^{0,2} + \zeta^{0,2} \wedge \eta^{1,1} = 0$ of (8.5), we find that $\zeta^{2,0}$ and $\eta^{2,0}$ have the same kernel. The $(0,4)$-component of the equation implies that $\zeta^{2,0}$ and $\eta^{2,0}$ have rank at most 4 and then the $(2,2)$-component implies that their common kernel contains V'. It follows that ζ and η both have rank at most 8 and that the same is true of $d\alpha_0$, which again is a contradiction. □

Note that as $d\alpha_0 \in [S^2E]$, the kernel is not only integrable but also quaternionic. By [17] this means that the corresponding leaves are totally geodesic.

The above twists have F exact. They exist whenever X has no zeros. Simple examples are therefore provided by taking M homogeneous. As hyperKähler manifolds are Ricci flat, homogeneous examples are flat [1]. However, [5] have classified the left-invariant hyperKähler metrics on Lie groups G. They find that G is necessarily two-step solvable and give an explicit structural description. Taking X to be any vector field generated by the left action and h to be constant, then provides strong HKT structures on G.

Other examples are provided by considering for compact G the hyperKähler metrics on $TG^{\mathbb{C}}$ constructed by Kronheimer [24] (see also [10]). These carry a tri-holomorphic action of $G \times G$, with each factor acting freely.

With this twist we have on the strong HKT side an isometry generated by the vector field $\mathcal{H}$-related to $-\frac{1}{a}X = -X/(\lambda\|X\|^2)$. The corresponding dual one-form is

$$\alpha_0^W \sim_{\mathcal{H}} -\frac{1}{\lambda\|X\|^2} X \lrcorner \tilde{g} = -\frac{1}{\lambda\|X\|^2}\alpha_0 - \frac{h}{\lambda\|X\|^2}\|X\|^2\alpha_0 = -\frac{1+h\|X\|^2}{\lambda\|X\|^2}\alpha_0.$$

This has exterior derivative

$$\begin{aligned} d\alpha_0^W &\sim_{\mathcal{H}} -(d - \frac{1}{a}F \wedge X \lrcorner)\Big(\frac{1+h\|X\|^2}{\lambda\|X\|^2}\alpha_0\Big) \\ &= -\frac{1+h\|X\|^2}{\lambda\|X\|^2}d\alpha_0 - \frac{1}{\lambda}(dh - \|X\|^{-4}d\|X\|^2) \wedge \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{\lambda\|X\|^2}\lambda d\alpha_0 \|X\|^2 \\ &= -\frac{1+(h-\lambda)\|X\|^2}{\lambda\|X\|^2}d\alpha_0 - \frac{1}{\lambda}(dh + \|X\|^{-4}X \lrcorner d\alpha_0) \wedge \alpha_0, \end{aligned}$$

which is proportional to $d\alpha_0$ plus a decomposable term. In particular, $d\alpha_0^W$ does not lie in $[S^2E]$, unless $h + \|X\|^{-2}$ is constant.

References

[1] D. V. Alekseevskiĭ and B. N. Kimel'fel'd, *Structure of homogeneous Riemannian spaces with zero Ricci curvature*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **9** (1975), no. 2, 5–11.

[2] Michael T. Anderson, Peter B. Kronheimer, and Claude LeBrun, *Complete Ricci-flat Kähler manifolds of infinite topological type*, Comm. Math. Phys. **125** (1989), no. 4, 637–642.

[3] David H. Armitage and Stephen J. Gardiner, *Classical potential theory*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2001.

[4] Sheldon Axler, Paul Bourdon, and Wade Ramey, *Bôcher's theorem*, Amer. Math. Monthly **99** (1992), no. 1, 51–55.

[5] M. L. Barberis, I. Dotti, and A. Fino, *Hyper-Kähler quotients of solvable Lie groups*, J. Geom. Phys. **56** (2006), no. 4, 691–711.

[6] M. L. Barberis and A. Fino, *New HKT manifolds arising from quaternionic representations*, Math. Z. **267** (2011), no. 3-4, 717–735.

[7] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, vol. 10, Springer, 1987.

[8] R. Bielawski, *Complete hyper-Kähler $4n$-manifolds with a local tri-hamiltonian $\mathbb{R}^n$-action*, Math. Ann. **314** (1999), no. 3, 505–528.

[9] Edward Bierstone and Pierre D. Milman, *Semianalytic and subanalytic sets*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1988), no. 67, 5–42. MR 972342 (89k:32011)

[10] A. S. Dancer and A. F. Swann, *HyperKähler metrics associated to compact lie groups*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **120** (1996), 61–69.

[11] ———, *Modifying hyperkähler manifolds with circle symmetry*, Asian J. Math. **10** (2006), no. 4, 815–826.

[12] ———, *Non-Abelian cut constructions and hyperkähler modifications*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino **68** (2010), no. 2, 157–170.

[13] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, *Gravitational multi-instantons*, Phys. Lett. **B78** (1978), 430–432.

[14] G. W. Gibbons, G. Papadopoulos, and K. S. Stelle, *HKT and OKT geometries on soliton black hole moduli spaces*, Nuclear Phys. B **508** (1997), no. 3, 623–658.

[15] R. Goto, *On hyper-Kähler manifolds of type* A_∞, Geometric and Funct. Anal. **4** (1994), 424–454.

[16] G. Grantcharov and Y. S. Poon, *Geometry of hyper-Kähler connections with torsion*, Comm. Math. Phys. **213** (2000), no. 1, 19–37.

[17] A. Gray, *A note on manifolds whose holonomy group is a subgroup of* $\mathrm{sp}(n)\cdot \mathrm{sp}(1)$, Michigan Math. J. **16** (1969), 125–128, Errata **17** (1970), 409.

[18] Kota Hattori, *The volume growth of hyper-Kähler manifolds of type* A_∞, Journal of Geometric Analysis **21** (2011), no. 4, 920–949.

[19] ———, *The holomorphic symplectic structures on hyper-Kähler manifolds of type* A_∞, Adv. Geom. **14** (2014), no. 4, 613–630.

[20] S. W. Hawking, *Gravitational instantons*, Phys. Lett. A **60** (1977), no. 2, 81–83.

[21] N. J. Hitchin, *Monopoles, minimal surfaces and algebraic curves*, Les presses de l'Université de Montréal, 1987.

[22] Nigel J. Hitchin, A. Karlhede, U. Lindström, and M. Roček, *HyperKähler metrics and supersymmetry*, Comm. Math. Phys. **108** (1987), 535–589.

[23] D. Joyce, *Compact hypercomplex and quaternionic manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **35** (1992), 743–761.

[24] P. B. Kronheimer, *A hyperKähler structure on the cotangent bundle of a complex lie group*, 1986.

[25] Óscar Maciá and Andrew F. Swann, *Twist geometry of the c-map*, Comm. Math. Phys. **336** (2015), no. 3, 1329–1357.

[26] Robert S. Martin, *Minimal positive harmonic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **49** (1941), 137–172.

[27] F. Martín Cabrera and A. F. Swann, *The intrinsic torsion of almost quaternion-Hermitian manifolds*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **58** (2008), no. 5, 1455–1497.

[28] John Milnor, *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 61, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1968. MR 0239612 (39 #969)

[29] Vincent Minerbe, *Rigidity for multi-Taub-NUT metrics*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **656** (2011), 47–58.

[30] Linda Naïm, *Sur le rôle de la frontière de R. S. Martin dans la théorie du potentiel*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **7** (1957), 183–281.

[31] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, *Lectures on differential geometry*, Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology, I, International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994, Lecture notes prepared by Wei Yue Ding, Kung Ching Chang [Gong Qing Zhang], Jia Qing Zhong and Yi Chao Xu, Translated from the Chinese by Ding and S. Y. Cheng, Preface translated from the Chinese by Kaising Tso.

[32] Ph. Spindel, A. Sevrin, W. Troost, and A. Van Proeyen, *Extended supersymmetric σ-models on group manifolds. I. the complex structures*, Nuclear Phys. B **308** (1988), no. 2-3, 662–698.

[33] A. F. Swann, *T is for twist*, Proceedings of the XV International Workshop on Geometry and Physics, Puerto de la Cruz, September 11–16, 2006 (D. Iglesias Ponte, J. C. Marrero González, F. Martín Cabrera, E. Padrón Fernández, and Sosa Martín, eds.), Publicaciones de la Real Sociedad Matemática Española, vol. 11, Spanish Royal Mathematical Society, 2007, pp. 83–94.

[34] ———, *Twisting Hermitian and hypercomplex geometries*, Duke Math. J. **155** (2010), no. 2, 403–431.

[35] K. P. Tod and R. S. Ward, *Self-dual metrics with self-dual Killing vectors*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **368** (1979), no. 1734, 411–427.

[36] C. T. C. Wall, *Regular stratifications*, Dynamical systems—Warwick 1974 (Proc. Sympos. Appl. Topology and Dynamical Systems, Univ. Warwick, Coventry, 1973/1974; presented to E. C. Zeeman on his fiftieth birthday), Springer, Berlin, 1975, pp. 332–344. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 468. MR 0649271 (58 #31184)

Andrew Swann

Department of Mathematics, Aarhus University, Ny Munkegade 118, Bldg 1530, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark.

E-mail: swann@math.au.dk